

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990)  
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973)  
Chief, Criminal Division

JEFFREY R. FINIGAN (CASBN 168285)  
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7232  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
Email: jeffrey.finigan@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DEBRA EDISON,  
Defendant.

Criminal No. CR 07-0479 WHA

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME &  
CONTINUING HEARING**

The above-captioned matter came before the Court on December 11, 2007. The defendant was represented by Shawn Halbert, Esq., and the government was represented by Jeffrey Finigan, Assistant United States Attorney. The matter was set before this Court for further status on December 18, 2007, due to the withdrawal of counsel in the related case of U.S. v. Michael Edison, CR 07-0074.

The Court made a finding that the time from and including December 11, 2007, through and including December 18, 2007, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
EXCLUDING TIME  
CR 07-0479 WHA

§ 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The finding was based on the need for the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the fact that the matter is related to the U.S. v. Michael Edison case and exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until December 18, 2007, and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for continuity of counsel for the defendant.

DATED: December 12, 2007

/s/  
SHAWN HALBERT  
Counsel for Debra Edison

DATED: December 12, 2007

/s/  
JEFFREY R. FINIGAN  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

So ordered.

DATED: December 14, 2007.

WILLIAM H. ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

